

# Measure No. 9 STATE OF OREGON

## ARGUMENT IN FAVOR

According to the book of **Leviticus** in the Bible, **oyster-eating, crossbreeding cattle, shaving a beard, wearing clothing made of mixed fibers, cursing one's parents and adultery** are just as immoral as **homosexuality**. If the OCA's "No Special Rights" Committee wants to take one of the 3,000-year-old laws of ritual holiness from **Leviticus** and put it into the Bill of Rights in the state constitution, they should be sincere enough to put all the rest of **Leviticus** into the constitution as well. It's a simple matter of respect for these historic laws to treat them consistently.

We at the **Special Righteousness Committee** are just as offended by oyster-eating, shaving and mixed fibers as the OCA is offended by homosexuality, and we have just as much right as the OCA does to change the state constitution to require government discrimination against people whose behavior we don't like.

My friends, do you want the public schools teaching your children that shaving is a legitimate and equal alternative style to a normal and healthy beard? Would you want to be forced to hire an oyster-eater to direct your church choir? Adam and Eve wore fig leaves—**100 percent fig leaves**—and this is divine proof that those disgustingly unnatural cotton/polyester blends are sinful. And when the OCA was analyzing the threat to traditional family values, we don't know how they managed to overlook adultery! Why, there's a lot more adultery than homosexuality going on out there, and extrapolations from OCA statistics show that **90 percent** of the people who have engaged in **sexual perversions** are **heterosexual** ("straight").

The state condones adultery by not punishing it with **death** as required by **Leviticus**. It promotes oyster-eating by licensing seafood restaurants, and it allows people to take mixed fibers out of the closet and to flaunt them right out in public without being fired or evicted! The state is encouraging sin!

If the OCA can have the special right to make their personal moral agenda into public policy, then anyone else also should be able to amend the state Bill of Rights to eliminate basic human rights for people who they don't like.

Let's put ALL of **Leviticus** into the constitution! A "yes" vote is the first step in facilitating our militant moral agenda.

**AGREE WITH US OR BURN IN HELL!**

Special Righteousness Committee  
P.O. Box 1851  
Portland, Oregon 97207

*(This information furnished by M. Dennis Moore, Chair, Special Righteousness Committee.)*

*(This space purchased for \$300 in accordance with ORS 251.255.)*

*The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by the State of Oregon, nor does the state warrant the accuracy or truth of any statement made in the argument.*

## ARGUMENT IN FAVOR

**STATEMENT OF LEGISLATIVE INTENT**

It is the primary intent of the Chief Petitioners of the initiative to distinguish between private behavior and public policy, and to prevent the establishment of special rights, taxpayer funding, or authorized access to public schools for individuals who promote, encourage or facilitate homosexuality, sadism, masochism, or pedophilia...

The initiative is not intended to interfere with the constitutional rights of anyone, including but not limited to opponents of the measure...

...the initiative would not amend, reduce or interfere with anyone's rights under the U.S. Constitution and federal law.

The initiative would not amend or repeal any existing provision of the Oregon Constitution. Subject to state and federal criminal and civil law, the measure does not prohibit persons from choosing to participate in the conduct identified in the initiative...

With respect to government employment: As long as an individual is performing his job, and is not using his position to promote, encourage, or facilitate the behaviors listed in the initiative, his private practice of such behaviors will not be considered a matter of public policy and will be considered a non-job factor. However, if a person is using his job to promote, encourage or facilitate one or more of the behaviors listed in the initiative, inquiry by a superior is required, and subsequent disciplining action, reassignment, or dismissal is appropriate. Remedial actions should be proportional to the degree that the individual has made his private sexual behavior a job-related factor. With respect to government employment: ...if an individual's position puts him in direct contact with children or youths, and it becomes known that (he) is promoting, encouraging or facilitating any of the behaviors listed..., then inquiry by a superior is required. If the charge is substantiated, subsequent re-assignment to a job of equal status and pay not directly affecting children is required. The primary factor in jobs relating to children is the protection of the innocence of the children, and not the comfort of the individual practicing, promoting...behaviors listed...

**The above statement is submitted by the Chief Petitioners as the true intent of the NSR...initiative. All other representation of the motivation or purpose of the petitioners should be examined in relation to this document.**

*(This information furnished by Lon Mabon, NSR Chairman, No Special Rights Committee PAC.)*

*(This space purchased for \$300 in accordance with ORS 251.255.)*

*The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by the State of Oregon, nor does the state warrant the accuracy or truth of any statement made in the argument.*